

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,015	10/26/2001	Kevin Lauren Cote	600.1181	5037	
23280 7	590 09/08/2004	EXAMINER			
	DAVIDSON & KAPI AVENUE, 14TH FLOO	CHOI, ST	CHOI, STEPHEN		
NEW YORK,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			3724	-	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Ü			
Office Action Summary		10/002	2,015	COTE ET AL.	\checkmark			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Stephe	n Choi	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE M Extensi after SI If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. o) days, a reply within the tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commander. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ F	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>04 June 200</i> 4	4 .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□ S	·							
С	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,8-16 and 18-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicatio	n Papers							
9)∐ TI	ne specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)□ TI	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•		by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action of format 10	102.			
-	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s	*							
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)		ummary (PTO-413)	•			
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or lo(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's traversal on the restriction requirement between claims drawn to an apparatus and claims drawn to a method is found persuasive. Accordingly, claims 18-20 are hereby rejoined with the elected apparatus claims and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 8-16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryson et al. (US 3,733,947) in view of Belec et al. (US 5,374,044).

Bryson discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a backstop rotating by a driver about an axis along an arcuate path in a single angular direction in a direction of movement of a sheet material article from a first position out of a path of movement to a second position in the path of movement, and further to a third position. Belec discloses a backstop (50) rotating by a driver (col. 5, lines 5-7) about an axis (54) in a single angular direction in a direction of movement of a sheet material article from a position out of a path of movement to a position in the path of movement. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015

Art Unit: 3724

the device of Bryson with the backstop assembly of Belec in order to increase the speed and reliability of the sheet material article handler. Regarding claims 9-10, see col. 5, lines 7-11 of Belec. Regarding claim 12, an elongated member (52). Regarding claims 13 and 15, an intermittent drive mechanism (servo motor). Regarding claim 14, see col. 8, line 61-col. 10, line 56 of Bryson.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 8-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. This action is made Non-Final.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Driscoll et al is cited to show a related device.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

3 September 2004

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER